

**San Diego Mesa College
PIE Committee
Meeting Notes**

March 10, 2020
3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m., MC 211B

| | | |
|------------------|--|---------------------------|
| ATTENDEES | Bridget Herrin, Co-Chair | Leticia Lopez (excused) |
| | Holly Jagielinski, Co-Chair (excused) | Pamela Luster (excused) |
| | Jacob Babauta (excused) | Anda McComb |
| | Danene Brown (excused) | Victoria Miller |
| | Ian Duckles | Isabel O’Connor (excused) |
| | Parrish Fitch (excused) | Scott Plambek |
| | Ashanti Hands | Monica Romero (excused) |
| | Michael Harrison | Ryan Shumaker |
| | Ed Helscher | Tracy Tuttle |
| | Leroy Johnson (excused) | Manuel Velez |
| | Terry Kohlenberg | Michael Harrison |
| | Courtney Lee (excused) | |
| | Lorenze Legaspi | Guests: |
| | Administrative Support: Sahar King (excused) | Helena Almasy |

Agenda Item A: Call to Order: By Bridget Herrin 3:27 p.m. in MC 211B.

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| DISCUSSION | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Approval of February 25, 2020 Minutes <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Draft of the minutes was emailed to PIEC prior to the meeting for review. • The minutes were M/S by Manuel Velez and Ed Helscher and approved. |
|-------------------|---|

| ACTION ITEMS | PERSON RESPONSIBLE | DEADLINE |
|--|--------------------|---------------------------|
| • Post the approved minutes to PIE webpage | • Sahar King | • Before the next meeting |

Agenda Item B: Committee Reports

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| DISCUSSION | <p>1. Mesa2030 (Herrin/Legaspi)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ School Interviews next week ○ Three operational groups will meet with consultants ○ Task force put together recommendations for online campus survey to be distributed ○ Recommendations for a community survey |
|-------------------|---|

- Task force received some results from the internal scan conducted by the consultants
- Integrated Planning Survey 3rd Draft was shown to the committee
- 5-year plan draft with objectives and actionable goals that the consultants put together was shown to PIE members.

2. Mesa Pathways (O'Connor)

- Groups are reporting recommendations
- Increasing communications of pathways work updates
 - Regular email communications to the campus community with pathways updates.
- Hired another NANCE to support the workers

3. SET (Hands)

- Equity crosswalk is put together by the Equity Crosswalk Task Force
 - The Task Force is looking at 18 programs (majority are student services) and actively go through the crosswalk.
- What student success metric are the programs focusing on with an equity lens?
- Presenting to P Cab the data outcomes from the Equity Crosswalk next week
- The goal is to extend the Equity Crosswalk data collection throughout the campus.

4. Program Review (Herrin)

- Reviewed results from the Integrated Planning Survey
- Robust conversation about the membership of the committee
- What the cycle will look like for the 10-year and the 5-year plans.
- What the charge of the committee is
- What is the structure of liaisons and manager reviews.
- What kind of questions to ask for program review.
- Taking a look at the intentional integration of resource committees.
- A conversation about Liaisons and whether or not the lead writers find the liaisons helpful/useful.

5. Committee on Outcomes and Assessment (COA) (Helscher)

- Assesment of the Critical Thinking ILO
 - Traditionally used the Graduate Survey to measure critical thinking ability
 - Questions have been prepared for the Graduate Survey
- Limiting critical thinking questions to 10
- Looking at ILOs and how DOCs should present
- April 24th Outcomes Summit will be the date for the DOCs to implement the info

6. Budget Allocation and Recommendation Committee (BARC)

| | |
|--|--|
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Presentation and at least PCab Meeting ○ Any proposed changes at PCab and at this (PIE) meeting ○ One question posed to the (PIE) committee: when we present the ranked list, does PIE check process or check specific details of the list? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ (PIE) Consensus: PIE should be responsible for overseeing the general process, not the specific details. <p>7. Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee (FHP)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ In the process of looking at faculty proposals ○ meeting scheduled in April to make recommendations. <p>8. Classified Hiring Priorities Committee (CHP)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Discussing the first round of rankings and looking at all requests and will then go to PCab ○ There is a current hiring freeze so they are asking: what are the important/necessary positions? |
|--|--|

Agenda Item C: Progress/Operational Updates

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| DISCUSSION | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Research, ACCJC Report (Herrin) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The Faculty reassign time is due soon b. The Annual report is due at the end of March c. There are five different metrics that provide a standard for satisfactory performance. 2. Accreditation (Brown) 3. HSI Program (Lopez) <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. No updates |
|-------------------|--|

Agenda Item D: Upcoming

| | |
|-------------------|---|
| DISCUSSION | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Graduate Survey 2. IPG 3. Chairs' Orientation Workgroup |
|-------------------|---|

| ACTION ITEMS | PERSON RESPONSIBLE | DEADLINE |
|--|---|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Send updated Planning Calendar back to IE office | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Each committee member | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • April 14, 2020 |

Agenda Item E: Announcements

| | |
|-------------------|--|
| DISCUSSION | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Next meeting, March 24, 2020 The meeting adjourned at 4:28 pm |
|-------------------|--|

Submitted by:

Approved on:

DRAFT