

San Diego Mesa College PIE Committee Meeting Notes

April 23, 2019 3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m., MC 211B

	Madeleine Hinkes, Co-Chair	Lorenze Legaspi
	Holly Jagielinski, Co-Chair	Leticia Lopez (excused)
ATTENDEES	Danene Brown (excused)	Pam Luster (excused)
ATTENDEES	Ian Duckles (excused)	Andrew MacNeill
	Ashanti Hands	Anda McComb
	Ed Helscher	Victoria Miller (excused)
	Bridget Herrin	Kim Perigo
	Tracy Tuttle	Scott Plambek
	Leroy Johnson (excused)	Monica Romero
	Terry Kohlenberg	Irena Stojimirovic
	Courtney Lee	Isabel O'Connor
		Parrish Fitch
	Staff Assistant: Sahar King	
		Guests: Larry Maxey, Olivia Picolla

Agenda Item A:	Call to Order: By Madeleine Hinkes at 3:37 p.m. in MC211B.		
DISCUSSION	1. Approval of April 9, 2019 Minutes		
DISCUSSION	• The minutes draft was emailed to PIEC prior to the meeting for review.		
	 The minutes were M/S by Andrew MacNeill and Tracy Tuttle and approved. 		

ACTION ITEMS		PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE	
Post the ap	proved minutes to PIE webpage	• Sahar King	Before next meeting	

Agenda Item B:	Continuing Business	
DISCUSSION	1. Committee survey	
DISCOSSION	 Committee survey will close on 4/25/19 	
	 If you serve on other committees, please encourage your peers to 	
	complete the governance survey.	
	 PIE Committee has a 19% completion rate so far. 	
	<u>Committee Survey Responses</u>	

2. Vision Goals, SEA Goals
 Vision Goals, SEA Goals Vision for Success Local Goal setting been presented at Academic
Senate, Success, Equity and Transformation Committee, BSI, and
also will be presented at Classified Senate.
 The only changes from last meeting would be for the living wage.
The baseline is in fact 41%.
 Chancellor's Office is using the baseline years for which we have
more recent data.
 PIE committee feedback for Equity Goals 1-4:
Goal 1: Enrollment at same CC
\checkmark System goals are pulled from VfS document; if no
specific goal was identified the number from the larger goal was used
 Goal 2: Completed both transfer level math & English
 Original goal was conservative; following conversations
with the BSI team to make them more aspirational
Goal 3: Retained from fall to spring
Goal 4: Vision goal completion
\checkmark
 Goal 5: Transferred to a 4-year
✓ Goal setting for both the vision goals and the equity
goals has very similar processes: identify which groups
are impacted, and then decide goals for all of those sub
groups.
 The equity team decided that the activity group goals
would be equivalent to whatever the number that
would be needed in order for that group to totally close
the gap.
 Equity goals have been established for each DI group
indicated.
 Student Equity and Achievement (SEA) Goal
 Goal 4 and 5 use the same percentages from the VfS
• The 18% increase and 15% increase in Goal 4 and 5 are the same as
Goal 1 and 2 increases
• Goal 2: Completed both transfer level math & English, the 12%
increase and then the math and English was adjusted significantly.
Essentially, the goal would be double in those areas
No data available for Goal 1
Beta Data Dashboard is available to state
 Submit final goals to SDCCD VC Student Success for presentation to
upcoming board meeting
Please refer to the attachment for more details
 Vision for Success Local Goal Setting Summary Table

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Committee survey closes on the 25th.	Bridget	April 25

Agenda Item C:	New Business
DISCUSSION	 Committee Report-Out form We discussed having a reporting format for committees so members could bring major points back to their departments.
	<u>Committee Report Format</u> <u>2. Graduate Survey Review</u>
	 We will send the graduate survey to all students who petition to graduate In an effort to reduce the number of surveys students receive, the graduate survey will include content from institutional learning outcomes.
	 It will also include the content of those surveys that we already sent out (commencement feedback, transfer and career plans, Mesa journeys, future plans)
	 section 5 would be the ILO assessment and those questions have been drafted by the ILO task force we would also generate some new questions
	• Overview: major changes, how many semesters have you been with us? How many units did you take?
	• Can we send this survey every semester as end of semester survey, because then we might have the opportunity to meet students' needs before they leave.
	• We're going to try and utilize our incentives as much as we can, opportunity drawing for \$100 gift card for students.
	 Graduate survey link: <u>https://docs.google.com/document/d/1GrCcfmRVJoQbIIAFEzXO-</u> <u>TF_YA6n3wqRqyJ32bBrlRg/edit#</u>

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
•	•	•

_	Agenda Item D:	Master Planning Task Force (Hinkes & Legaspi)
	DISCUSSION	No Report
L		

	ITEMS	
ACTI	II LIVIJ	•

PERSON RESPONSIBLE DEADLINE

None		• N/A
------	--	-------

Agenda Item E:	Research/Shared Metrics (Herrin)
DISCUSSION	No Report

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
•		•

Agenda Item F:	Mesa Pathways (O'Connor)
DISCUSSION	•

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item G:	Accreditation (Brown)
DISCUSSION	No Report

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item H:	SEA/CUE - Student Equity Plan (Larry Maxey)
DISCUSSION	 Mesa College Equity Plan 2019-2022: Developing an outline of the process and schedule for evaluating the progress made toward meeting Mesa College goals. Innovative request funding form: Programs that have requested equity funding must complete an Innovative Funding request form where they outline the equity goals and how those goals will be evaluated In spring, the committee reports on activities that are making some progress with data. We're developing questions to simplify the Program Review process and to also perhaps include some equity questions, or at least a question that will help the entire campus to move forward on equity. Through our campus wide governance committees, the SET committee will ensure coordination across all categorical programs and campus-based programs. The goals of the SET committee include to develop a shared vision for the college's

student success and equity efforts, to advise in the development and implementation of student success Support Program, Student Equity Plan and development of HSI/Title V Plans.
• The use of student success and equity data to do research and inform cost and college practices and provide a venue for dialogue to integrate Student Success Equity campus wide, support the College's professional development mission with regard to students success.
• Progress from 2014 EQ Plan: In terms of the disproportionate impact of groups that we targeted with the 2014 required metrics, success rate basic skills, basic skills progression math, basic skills progression English, completion rate, degree attainment and transfer rate.
• Basic skills progression in math completion rates, we see our disproportionately impacted groups, our goals, along with some of the successes and progress that we have made for Fall 2016 to Spring 2017. For African –American students, the completion rate jumped from 20% to 85% for those in the co-requisite course.
• The goals for the 2019 equity plan require that we develop goals for overall student
 populations. The first metric is enrolled at the same community college We currently do not have the data with this particular metric, which is why there is
 We currently do not have the data with this particular metric, which is why there is no baseline data that is provided. We won't be reporting on that until we get the data.
• Retained from fall to spring at the same college, the baseline at our institution is 13555, and the goal that we have set is 15249.
 Out of the discussion that we had at President's Cabinet, we determined some
specific goals for each of these areas.
• Rationale for the development of these particular goals. The SET committee decided to follow three basic ideas or concepts.
• The first was to be aspirational, we really wanted to try to set lofty goals for those groups that have been traditionally marginalized
 But we also determined that where the data was not clear we needed to take a conservative approach. For example, the data that we have on homeless students is insufficient.
 Inquiry: There have been some introduced targeted groups that the state
chancellor's office is asking us to support such as some other race. This targeted group is not clear to us.
 We can't develop programs and strategies to support those students, until we know who those students are.
 The first metric that we're looking at is transferred to a four-year institution. We set
the goal at the baseline, which shows the state that we're not continuing to develop
activities for them.
We set goals for these low number cohorts
• Activities that have been implemented at Mesa College in past few years have been
intentionally designed to adjust achievement gaps found in our local data as part of our inquiry process associated with the previous Equity Plan. In that plan, we
established short-term and long-term goals
 We want to go beyond what the state says is full equity.
 Please refer to the PowerPoint for more detail
Power Point
<u>Executive Summary 2019</u>
EQ plan Nova

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• N/A	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item I: Program Review (Hinkes)

DISCUSSION	No report

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item J: Committee on Outcomes & Assessment (COA) (Clark)

DISCUSSION • No report

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item K:	Budget Allocation and Recommendation Committee (Legaspi)
DISCUSSION	 Preliminary budget: The topics that the committee will discuss for next year include student centered funding formula, Proposition 98, the campus allocation model, other budget items that will affect District Recommendation: Representation from Academic Senate for BARC committees, so information can easily pass from the campus level to the District's Budget Committee. BARC Committee will first present to PIE Committee, and final results go to President's Cabinet. There will be an update to the rubric by tying in equity and excellence to the questions asked.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item L: Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee (MacNeill)

DISCUSSION	 45 requests were ranked and the list goes to President's Cabinet on May 7, 2019. We have started the discussion about changes and evaluation of our process, and results will be presented at PIE committee Need to have training on how to extract that information from REQUEST.it portal. Scoring in portal worked well

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item M: Classified Hiring Priorities Committee (Picolla/McAnally)

DISCUSSION	 2018-2019 Membership Student Services: Claudia Estrada (Supervisor), Vanndaro Chhum, Olivia Picolla (Co-Chair) Administrative Services: Ellen Engels, Marco Chavez, Instructional Services: Jeannette Leon, Ikuko McAnally (Co-Chair) Administration: Lorenze Legaspi, Monica Romero 2019-2020 Membership: Student Service 3 Vacancies, Administrative Service 1 Vacancy, Instructional Services 1 Vacancy. The CHP request form was added under the new REQUEST.it system in the 18-19 academic year. We review and update any changes to the request form Increased the character limit in Q1 from 5,000 to 10,000 and Q2 from 1,500 to 3,000. With the added equity criteria, the score will now go from 20 to 25. Highest and lowest scores are removed, final scores are averaged Positions are scored individually at first and then combined their Final Average.
	 Please refer to the PowerPoint for more detail <u>Power Point</u>

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item N: Announcements

DISCUSSION	 Next meeting, May 14, 2019, MC211B

Submitted by: Sahar King, Senior Secretary Approved on: