

San Diego Mesa College

Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee

Meeting Notes

May 10, 2022

3:30 p.m. – 5:00 p.m., Zoom

ATTENDEES	
Co-Chairs	Faculty
Bridget Herrin	John Crocitti
Holly Jagielinski	Ian Duckles
Administration	Paula Gustin
Victoria Miller	Holly Jagielinski
Ryan Shumaker	Danica Moore
Monica Romero	Scott Plambek
Classified Professionals	Nathan Resch
Mona King	Lisa Shapiro
Courtney Lee	
VACANT (Instruction)	Student Representatives:
VACANT (Administrative)	Dania Garcia
Consultants	
Marisa Alioto	Guest:
Howard Eskew	
Ashanti Hands	
Lorenze Legaspi	
Pamela Luster	
Isabel O'Connor	
Toni Parsons	
Michelle Rodriguez	
Monica Romero (CTE)	
Administrative Support: Sahar King	

Agenda Item A: Call to Order: Bridget Herrin at 3:35 p.m. in Zoom

DIS	(III	CCI		•
טנע	\mathbf{c}	DOT.	$\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{I}}$	•

1. Approval of 12, 2022, Minutes

PIE (PLANNING AND INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS) (PLANNING AND INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS)

MINUTES

05/10/2022

PAGE 1



- A draft of the agenda and minutes were emailed to PIEC (Planning and Institutional Effectiveness Committee) prior to the meeting for review.
- The minutes from April 12, 2022, were M/S by Ian Duckles and John Crocitti and approved.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
 Post approved minutes to the website. 	Sahar King	Before the next meeting.

Agenda Item B: Continuing Business

DISCUSSION:

- 1. Governance Survey (Objective: Results Review)
 - o Received 108 responses; 2/3 faculty, 1/3 classified & administrators, remainder students
 - o Sent to DL so that everyone from all committees could review and respond.
 - Rank own experience in committee integration with Mesa as a whole, committee culture, operations.
 - Integration over 90% agree or strongly agree.
 - Committee culture regarding meaningful dialogue, just under 20% disagree or strongly disagree.
 - Operations disagree or strongly disagree regarding orientation for new members nearly 50%.
 - o Plan to share results with committees that have >5 responses.
 - Option to leave the survey open and push out again, disaggregate the data in areas like constituency group role or role at Mesa.
 - Perhaps some misunderstanding around statements i.e., agenda item discussion.
 - Suggestion ask committees to add the survey to their agenda for the first meetings of the semester; post orientation on the website of each committee for review at beginning of the semester.
 - Preference for answer scale moving forward there was one suggestion for adding a neutral, N/A, I do not know. Suggestion to roll strongly into just agree/disagree.

2. Program Review Alignment Plan

- PRSC (Program Review Steering Committee), FHP, CHP, and BARC are actively
 engaged in this process, looking at the alignment of resource prioritization process and
 line up with roadmap and Mesa2030.
- o Primarily looking at ways in which resource allocation requests stem more meaningfully from Program Review reports.
- o Program Review Handbook and Report and Resource Request Structure
- o Allow each request to fulfill areas/values within the category with multiple prongs.
- o FHP meeting again in the next week to continue sharing updates.

PAGE 2



ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• N/A	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item C: New Business

DISCUSSION:

1. Summer Workgroup Volunteers

- o We are developing summer workgroups to support implementation in the new software.
- o Separate summer workgroup to develop outcomes spaces.
- Need rep from PIEC

2. Outcomes Assessment Cycle (Objective: Informational)

- COA (Committee on Outcomes and Assessment) has voted and approved to move to a 4year cycle to align with Program Review.
- o Want to leverage technology for data collection.
- Add into Program Review workspace report, data reflection around outcomes would occur in PR space instead of separate workspace
- o Working on handbook for PR and OA to be shared out to campus in fall.

3. Program Review Summary Report

- o Analysis of PR responses from lead writers.
- o Pulled two questions:
 - i. COVID-19 Impacts: "In what ways (if any) did changes to an online/remote modality due to COVID-19 impact student success and equity in your area/program?"
 - ii. College-wide Practices: "What college-wide practices implemented since the last program review cycle have affected your area/program positively or negatively? Identify impacts on student success and equity."
- A lot of variation in responses across academic program, instructional modality, course level/length, student demographic group, and other variables.
- Lessons learned moving forward, what community will look like in a post-pandemic world.
 - i. Review Accreditation Report and contribute to Brainstorming Document

4. PIE (Planning and Institutional Effectiveness) Committee Membership (Objective: Confirmation)

 Respond to e-mail from Mona to confirm your membership for 2022-23 if you have not already done so.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Respond to e-mail with interest form for Summer Workgroups	Everyone	End of semester
 Share Program Review Summary Report in your spaces. 	Everyone	• N/A

PAGE 3



• Respond to e-mail from Mona to confirm your membership for 2022-23 if you have not already done so.	Everyone	End of semester
 Forward any folks you think would be good to fill vacancies. 	Everyone	Ongoing
 Review Accreditation Report and contribute to Brainstorming Document. 	Everyone	Ongoing
 Send any updates for the 2022-23 IP calendar to Mona. 	Committee Leads	Before fall semester.

Agenda Item F: Announcements/ Adjournment

DISCUSSION:

1. Next meeting: September 13, 2022

2. Meeting Schedule 2022-2023

Submitted by: Sahar King, Administrative Support

Approved on: