

San Diego Mesa College

Meeting Notes

April 15, 2022

1:00 p.m. – 2:30 p.m., Zoom

ATTENDEES				
Co-Chairs	Faculty			
Bridget Herrin – Co-Chair	Mark Abajian – Business and Technology			
Erika Higginbotham – DSPS (absent)	Kevin Branson – Technology Services (absent)			
Lorenze Legaspi – BARC (absent)	Bruce Naschak – Humanities			
Dina Miyoshi – Social Behavioral Science	Michael Cox – Curriculum			
	Kimberly Mills- Health Science & Public Service			
Administrators	James Hinton – Math and Science			
Linda Hensley – Humanities (absent)	Alison Gurganus – LRC			
Larry Maxey – Student Equity (absent)	John Crocitti – AS Pres/Designee			
	Jake Portugal – ES, Health, Dance & Athletics			
	(absent)			
Classified Professionals	Donna Flournoy – CTE (absent)			
Mona King – CS Pres/Designee				
Joel Arias – Admin Svcs (absent)	Committee Reps			
Alan Goodman – Instruction	Monica Romero – Strong Workforce (excused)			
Olivia Picolla – Student Svcs (absent)	Isabel O'Connor – FHP (excused)			
	Ellen Engels – CHP			
Students	John Crocitti– FHP			
Forest Corbett (absent)	Howard Eskew- Pathways(absent)			
Administrative Support: Sahar King	Guest:			

Agenda Item A: Call to Order: Approval of March 4, 2022, Minutes

DISCUSSION:

- 1. Approval of March 4, 2022, Minutes.
 - **a.** Minutes were M/S by John Crocitti and Kimberly Mills and approved.



ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Post approved minutes to the COA website	1. Sahar King	Before the next meeting

Agenda Item B: Continuing Business

DISCUSSION:

FHP, CHP, BARC Updates

- Faculty Hiring Priorities Committee (Crocitti)
 - Eight open positions at last count; the President can always rearrange the rankings.
 - Currently sorted based off Column B (rubric scores); separated instructional classroom/counseling positions from beyond the classroom positions.
 - Accreditation any groups with accreditation requirement have process with President to make sure eligible positions are on the list.
 - Future request portal concerns about who will have access to make requests.
 - A suggestion was made that only Faculty should have access.
 - Concerns around PRSC efforts to make the process more inclusive and collaborative would be undermined if only faculty have access.
 - There is concern that FHP ranked requests high without context form department faculty.
 - It is suggested that this issue lives in the representative model and effective communication and should not be solved by limiting access to the process.
 - It is agreed that this discussion should be continued at a future meeting and should also involve PIEC.
 - Collaboration in faculty hiring process. Operational discussions will continue as we build out Nuventive once contract is signed and development of workspaces can begin.
 - Bridget will share Resource Alignment plan at next FHP meeting
- Classified Hiring Priorities Committee (Engels)
 - Eliminate highest and lowest scores, average on a maximum of 25 points.
 - CHP supports the Resource Alignment plan and intends to use the shared rubric and questions
- Budget Allocation Recommendation Committee (Goodman)
 - Did not ask for quotes this year; historically, BARC ratings did not consider cost. Recommendations based off needs and narrative.
 - Discussing how to enhance for next year, do plan to ask for values.
 - Because of various one-time dollars through HERF, other funds, a fair majority of requests being funded.
 - BARC supports the Resource Alignment plan and intends to use the shared rubric and questions
- Resource Alignment Workgroup (Objective: Share proposal, feedback, vote)



- Took questions vetted by PRSC and created visualization; proposing general flow:
 - Data Reflection → Practice Reflection → Unit Goals → Action Plans →
 Resource Needs → Prioritization
- Looked at questions on FHP, CHP, BARC forms, and criteria on rubrics found that there's a lot of overlap, a lot of spaces where we can be aligning efforts. Looked at Mesa2030, Mission/Vision/Values.
- Feedback received in the past about Program Review and Resource Request being disconnected from one another. Committees report challenges with scoring requests without seeing the program review reports.
- Hoping to build into Nuventive something more comprehensive, everything in one spot. There will be ongoing conversations throughout implementation to streamline the process and meet needs/desires for the system.
- Plan to have Summer workgroup with repos form all areas to support implementation and end user feedback
- Nuventive (Objective: Update)
 - Still waiting for contract to be signed.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Members of resource groups report on plans moving forward	CHP, FHP, BARC members	Ongoing

Agenda Item C: New Business



DISCUSSION:

- Outcomes Assessment and Program Review Faculty <u>Coordinator proposal</u> (Objective: feedback, vote)
 - o Many other colleges have joint PR and OA Coordinator
 - Proposing increase from .4 to .6 to include PR as part of OA Coordinator's responsibilities
 - o Trying to meaningfully connect OA to PR process
- O Concerns were expressed regarding the Faculty chair being an elected member who on Senate Exec. Dina will take to exec for discussion.
 - Program Review Handbook Website (Objective: Feedback)
 - o Making skeleton/outline of Handbook, website. Will have a PDF available.
 - o Video tutorials for technology, lead writer checklist, sample responses, Data Coaching

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON	DEADLINE	
	RESPONSIBLE		
Take OA/PR Coordinator proposal to Academic Senate for feedback.	Dina Miyoshi	• TBD	
• Reach out to Patty Manley at Miramar who is in joint position; how does it work for her?	• Dina Miyoshi	• TBD	

Agenda Item D: Announcements/ Adjournment

DISCUSSION:

- 1. Next meeting: May 3, 2022
- 2. Meeting Schedule 2021-2022
- 3. Bridget Herrin adjourned the meeting at 2:35 pm

Submitted by:

Approved on: