

San Diego Mesa College Program Review Committee Meeting Notes

Friday, February 5, 2016 11:00 a.m. – 12:30 p.m., LRC435

ATTENDEES/ PROXIES

Steering Committee/Liaisons	Anne Geller
Madeleine Hinkes, Co-Chair	Bri Hays
Taj George, Co-Chair	Marichu Magana
Dina Miyoshi, Co-Chair	Jonathan McLeod
Ebony Tyree, Co-Chair	Bruce Naschak
	Susheela Narayanan
Isaac Arguelles	
Ginger Davis	
Genevieve Esguerra	

Agenda Item A: Call to Order:

DISCUSSION	The meeting was called to order by Hinkes at 11:10 a.m.
------------	---

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item B: Welcome/Introduction:

DISCUSSION	Hinkes welcomed the committee members to the first Spring meeting.
	Isaac was introduced to the group.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item C: Review and Approval of Minutes: Fall 2015 Training Sessions

DISCUSSION	Brief notes from all four training sessions outlined the training that was	
	given, along with documenting the attendees.	

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
 Post approved minutes on the Program Review website. 	Madeleine Hinkes	Before next meeting.

Agenda Item D: Old Business:

DISCUSSION

Report on Fall program review season

- Hinkes gave a brief overview of the Fall work
- 95 of 96 program reviews were submitted to managers and liaisons on time for preliminary reviews
- Lead writers had until January 29 to make any edits and submit their work to managers and liaisons for final review
- Final reviews are due February 11; about one-half are already done

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Track completion of reviews by due	 Madeleine 	• Feb 11
date	Hinkes	

Agenda Item E: New Business:

DISCUSSION

- Hinkes led off an after-action discussion with a few Powerpoint slides which divided suggestions and recommendations into three groups: Liaisons, The Review Process, and Miscellaneous
- Liaisons: Is there a better way to recruit? Liaisons should be able to help with how-to-submit questions. How to improve training? Inconsistent levels of assistance.
- The Review Process: Provide clear instructions (many people seemed to prefer oral rather than written instructions). Label review sections as 'required'. Prelim reviews need to occur soon after submission to allow more time for editing. How can reviewer know if a new goal was submitted? Add Facilities to final checklist.
- Miscellaneous: Put name of lead writer(s) in the review. Can non-funded requests from previous year roll over?
- Additional comments from the group: Let reviewers change the programs
 they review every couple of years. For IE section, make clearer what is
 meant by 'equity perspective'; assist writers to discuss rather than simply
 using the word. Final review checklist: titles should match. Workload
 issues, especially for writers reassigned time for comprehensive years?
 What happens in Summer Work Group? Hinkes's emails with clips from
 Handbook were useful.
- Bri Hays suggested holding a focus group with lead writers.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Confirm Summer Work Group	 Madeleine Hinkes 	• ASAP
Meeting dates/times.		
Organize focus group for lead writers		• For Mar 4
for next meeting	Hays	

Agenda Item F: Announcements:

DISCUSSION	•

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item G: Next Meeting:

DISCUSSION	• Friday, March 4, 2016, 11:00 a.m. – 12:30 p.m., LRC 435
------------	---

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Agenda Item H: Adjournment

DISCUSSION	The meeting was adjourned by Hinkes at 12:30 p.m.
------------	---

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Submitted by Madeleine Hinkes, Approved on: <u>4 March 2016</u>