

San Diego Mesa College Program Review Steering Committee Meeting Notes

Friday, April 19, 2019 11:00 p.m. – 12:30 p.m., LRC432

	Steering Committee/Liaisons	Jonathan McLeod
	Madeleine Hinkes, Co-Chair	Dina Miyoshi
ATTENDEES/	Lorenze Legaspi, Co-Chair	Pegah Motaleb (excused)
I -	Bruce Naschak, Co-Chair	Monica Romero
PROXIES	Erika Higginbotham Co-Chair	Charlie Zappia (absent)
	Mark Abajian	
	Juan U. Bernal (excused)	Administrative Support:
	Kevin Branson (excused)	Mona King (excused)
	Andrew Hoffman (excused)	Anda McComb
	Bridget Herrin	
	Chris Kalck (excused)	Guest:
	lan Kay (excused)	
	Marichu Magana (excused)	
	Larry Maxey (excused)	

Agenda Item A:	Call to Order: Approval of March 22 Minutes	
DISCUSSION	 Approval of March 22, 2019 Minutes 	
	 Minutes were M/S by Jonathan and Lorenze an 	d approved

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
 Post approved minutes on the 	 Mona King 	Before next meeting
Program Review website.		

Agenda Item B: Continuing Business:

DISCUSSION	1. 2019-202 Annual Update (Instruction), see attachment (Annual Update)
DISCUSSION	Outcomes Assessment questions from COA
	 Are you on target with your assessment schedule?
	 What have your assessments revealed about your courses/programs?
	 Based on your assessments, what resource needs have you identified?
	 List resources without details? Integrate information into BARC request
	 Program analysis for equity and excellence; focus on metric of student

success

- Add degrees and certificates?
- Can we track courses taken together? Sequential vs concurrent? Success across sections of same course? Might cause faculty pushback.
- Program review is meant to be a process of reflection, ask tough questions
- Let lead writers know our plan for annual updates
- How to define race? State guidelines, student self-identifies
- Data dashboards and packets
- First year for dashboards, complicated, many dashboards needed to fully address comprehensive questions
- Mostly favorable comments in IP survey
- Simplify dashboard to what lead writers need for this update?
- Are gaps significant? Five years of data, might need more time to see effects of interventions
- 2. CTE Component (Monica Romero)
 - Problems with workload, too many questions for CTE lead writers
 - No separate CTE questions for program analysis
 - Need to work out links to BARC
 - Need to work out how to extract the needed information for Perkins,
 Strong Workforce, CTE
 - Need to work on communication within a program; lead writers should engage the entire program, move on from "the way we've always done it"

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Send full IP report	Mona King	Before next meeting

Agenda Item C: New Business:

DISCUSSION	 Classes run Aug 19 to December 16 Program Review will open on Aug 14, with training for liaisons Ready for review on Dec 2 Review period for liaisons and managers is Dec 2- Jan 10 Final report due Feb 3 (first day of classes)
	 Work on modules for Student Services, Administrative units, Deans and VPs Give view access to more people to encourage participation; ask Deans who should be included

Set schedule for summer workgroup

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
Set up Flex day training		

Agenda Item D: Announcements/Adjournment:

DISCUSSION	 Next meeting, May 3th The meeting was adjourned by Madeleine Hinkes at 12:35 p.m.

ACTION ITEMS	PERSON RESPONSIBLE	DEADLINE
• None	• N/A	• N/A

Submitted by: (Mona) Sahar King

Approved on: ______