<p style="text-align:center">GUIDED MAJORS AND MAPPING WORKGROUP
Minutes
Friday, April 17, 2020 (12:30-2pm via Zoom)</p>

<u>Attendees:</u>

Katlin Choi, Work based Learning	Mary Gwin, Philosphy	Bruce Naschak, Humanities
Ian Duckles, Philosophy	Terrence Hale, Counseling	Alison Primoza, Languages
Howard Eskew, Business	Leroy Johnson, Counseling	Cynthia Rico, Counseling
Helen Greenbergs, Psychology	Dina Miyoshi, Psychology	Uriel Ornelas, Languages

I. Meeting called to order at 12:30pm by Ian: Welcome and Introductions

II. Approve Agenda -*Agenda approved unanimously*

III. Approval of March 6th Minutes   -*Minutes approved unanimously*

IV. Report on MPC Meeting 4-8-20

-Alison P. asked for clarification pertaining to the Pathways discussion at the last Senate and Chairs meetings

 -Ian indicated that:

-MPC is meeting regularly and the committee is taking stock of what the workgroups will do

-Howard indicated he went back to the Self-Assessment document and broke down each item that Mesa indicated they'd work on (with the deadlines submitted). He said a lot had already been accomplished prior to the move off campus.

-Helen asked about potential leadership restructuring that was brought up by Toni in her Pathways report at the last Academic Senate meeting.

-Howard, Ian and Cynthia indicated:

-the Faculty Coordinators had not been decided and were on hold

-elections for work group leads were discussed

-discussion of moving to a "projects" focus within the workgroups

-some workgroups may no longer need to meet (i.e., the "Brave Communications" group) because their charge was completed.

-Alison P. asked about the Mesa Mixers and the possibility of doing an online version

-Ian suggested to put these on hold for now and others agreed.

-Ian mentioned he was invited to the Chairs meeting in May

-Mapping is probably pushed to the Fall

V. Leadership of the Workgroup for 20-21

-Ian and Cynthia indicated they were happy to continue but if anyone else is interested, email your interest to the group

-Some discussion occurred pertaining to the election and whether it was necessary.

-Howard suggested the process be followed even if it is just a formality. Others agreed.

>>>>Leadership election will take place at the May 29th work group meeting.

VI. Charge of the Committee Document
  a) *Timeline and Outcomes for our Workgroup*
      a. Ian indicated the document was approved by MPC at their meeting on 4/8 (with a request for clarification of the "Do no harm" language—see below)
      b. Ian suggested that "item 1 section ii" seemed realistic for our group to focus on for the remainder of the semester

  b) *"Do no harm" Language Clarification:*
      -Ian indicated the MPC asked for clarification of this in the footnote of this document.

      >>>>Mary volunteered to write a few sentences to include in the footnote
  ----

VII. Presentation of Mapping and Meta Majors Frameworks to Committee of Chairs
    -Ian suggested using the PowerPoint presentation of the three approaches that he used at the beginning of the semester. The group agreed with this.

VIII. Mapping Guidelines (continue from last meeting)

  a) *Reviewer Recommendation Form final edits*

      -The suggestion was made to change "should" to "will" in the bullet point, "Students <u>will</u> ~~should~~ be able to select their own general education courses and electives". This sentence is in the "Counseling Faculty" review section.
      -Signatures: the group decided both discipline faculty and department chair should sign
      -Remove the "date(s) reviewed"
      -Change "recommendation/rationale" to "comments"

      *Motion made/seconded to approve this form: (Helen/Alison P.)
              -passed unanimously

  b) *What happens to the maps?*

      -Terrence commented about website—do maps need to have the same format?
      -Helen mentioned that edits would be necessary with these in the future
      -Bruce indicated the student's perspective is important—maybe students could get general maps to help supplement other information to help them plan
      -Joel's website presentation was brought up
          -general support was expressed by the group for his idea
          -Howard suggested talking with Jennifer Kearns about work load—does that increased capacity exist?
          -It was mentioned that any added software would potentially affect workload and that Joel's idea would at least keep things in house.
        -Cynthia suggested that we look at the maps after they are turned in and see what programs have done. This could help guide what is done with the maps. Ian reiterated this and others agreed.

IX. For the Good of the Order
X. Meeting adjourned at 1:40pm.